PUBLIC CHALLENGE FOR DEBATE (More)

June 16, 2015

This present post is an update concerning the challenge extended to no-exception preachers to step forward and defend their position in public debate.

To read the initial post, click on the following link: http://www.willofthelord.com/2015/04/14/public-challenge-for-debate-divorce-remarriage/

The following preachers were contacted by certified mail, signed-return-receipt:

- Dwight Hendrickson
- Malcomb Kniffen
- Jerry Johnson
- Raymond Stiner
- a) Jerry Johnson wrote a letter stating he had no interest in debating (March 31, 2015).
- **b) Dwight Hendrickson** called on the phone saying he would not debate me orally (April 14, 2015).
- c) Raymond Stiner wrote a letter on (April 14, 2015) stating, "I am not so sure that an oral debate is the means in which to do this. First of all I have never known of a debate to produce much good, except for to solidify each side." Having stated that debates do no good, Brother Stiner then challenged me to a written debate! (Let me not digress here.)
- **d) Malcomb Kniffen** after complaining over the phone (December 3, 2014) and during the Open Bible Study (March 14, 2015) about having only 30 minutes to present the no-exception position, never wrote or called in reference to a public debate.

In a final effort to have a four-night debate, I contacted Brother Don Bounds after he wrote a review in *The Voice Of The Turtle* concerning the Seminole Open Bible Study.

Brother Don Bounds, after much writing, simply stated, "I do not want a verbal debate" (April 22, 2015). If there was any public discussion of the marriage question, it must be a written debate. I offered the following propositions for written debate with Brother Don Bounds. As you read over these propositions, please notice he refused to sign a single one of them.

Possible Propositions For Debate On Marriage Question

April 29, 2015

Below are <u>twenty-sets</u> of suggested propositions for debate regarding the division existed on the issue of divorce and remarriage. It is suggested that <u>three-sets</u> be signed by each participant. After discussing three-sets, if both parties are still interested and deem it profitable, more propositions may be signed and the discussion continued.

NOTE: Suggested rules governing this discussion follow the twenty-sets of propositions.

Subject 1 – Joseph & Mary

Resolved: Joseph believed Mary committed fornication and was planning to divorce her "for fornication" (as per Dt 24:1).

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: Joseph believed Mary was having a child by the Holy Spirit and was afraid to marry her for that reason.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 2 – Teaching NT law while OT in force

Resolved: Jesus could not teach New Testament (kingdom) law during His lifetime without violating Old Testament (Mosaic) law.

Refused to Sign	<u> Signed: George Battey</u>
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: Jesus could and did teach New Testament (kingdom) law during His lifetime without violating Old Testament (Mosaic) law.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 3 – Only laws repeated in epistles

<u>Resolved</u>: The only teachings of Jesus allowable in the church are those teachings which are repeated in the New Testament Books of Acts through Revelation.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: Jesus taught New Testament laws (applying to the church) which were never repeated in the New Testament Books of Acts through Revelation.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 4 – Jesus did not teach NT MDR laws

Resolved: The teachings of Jesus (which He taught during His life on earth) on divorce and remarriage do not apply to Christians in the gospel age.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: The teaching of Jesus (which He taught during His life on earth) on divorce and remarriage do apply to Christians in the gospel age.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 5 – Meaning of "fornication"

Resolved: The word "fornication" (as used in the Bible) means premarital sex only and does not include adultery, homosexuality, incest, pedophilia, or bestiality.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: The word "fornication" (as used in the Bible) means "sexual immorality in general" and includes adultery, homosexuality, incest, pedophilia, and bestiality.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 6 – The "present tense" argument

Resolved: The present tense used in the phrase, "Is it lawful ...?" (Mt 19:3) limits Jesus to speaking only about Mosaic Law.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: The present tense used in the phrase, "Is it lawful ...?" (Mt 19:3) does not limit Jesus to speaking only about Mosaic Law.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 7 – Answering the question of Mt 19:3

Resolved: In Mt 19:7 the Pharisees did not answer their own question which they asked in Mt 19:3.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: In Mt 19:7 the Pharisees answered their own question which they asked in Mt 19:3 and Jesus agrees with their answer.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 8 – 1 Cor 6:15-18 – is this the exception?

Resolved: In 1 Cor 6:15-18, Paul is not discussing the exception given by Jesus in Mt 19:9.

Refused to Sign	<u> Signed: George Battey</u>
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: In 1 Cor 6:15-18, Paul is discussing the exception given by Jesus in Mt 19:9.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 9 – Contrastive teaching of Mt 5

Resolved: The contrastive teaching of Jesus in Mt 5 is a contrast between perverted teachings of the Pharisees and what Mosaic Law actually taught.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: The contrastive teaching of Jesus in Mt 5 is a contrast between what Mosaic Law actually taught and the soon-coming New Testament (kingdom) law.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 10 – The "test" of Mt 19:3

Resolved: The "test" administered by the Pharisees in Mt 19:3 was a test to see if Jesus would teach something different from what Moses taught.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: The "test" administered by the Pharisees in Mt 19:3 was not a test to see if Jesus would teach something different from what Moses taught.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 11 – Menu option: Divorce or kill

Resolved: A Jewish man, living under Mosaic Law, had a choice when his wife committed adultery: (a) allow her to live, or (b) have her stoned to death.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: A Jewish man, living under Mosaic Law, was not given choices of what to do when their wives committed adultery.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 12 – "Hard-hearted" men

Resolved: A man who divorces his unrepentant, cheating wife is being "hard-hearted."

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: A man who divorces his unrepentant, cheating wife is not being "hard-hearted."

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 13 – Eph 5:22-33

Resolved: Eph 5:22-33 contains all the information Christians need to know about marriage, divorce and remarriage.

Refused to Sign	<u> Signed: George Battey</u>
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

<u>Resolved</u>: Eph 5:22-33 does not contain all the information Christians need to know about marriage divorce and remarriage.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 14 – Rom 7:1-4

Resolved: Rom 7:1-4 is discussing all cases of divorce – including cases of marital unfaithfulness.

Refused to Sign	<u> Signed: George Battey</u>
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: Rom 7:1-4 is <u>not</u> discussing a faithful spouse divorcing an unrepentant, fornicating spouse.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 15 – Only remarriage is wrong

Resolved: Divorcing for trivial causes is not sinful; only when remarriage occurs is sin committed.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: Divorcing for trivial causes is sinful even if no remarriage occurs.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 16 – OT polygamy

Resolved: Under Mosaic Law, a man with two or more living wives was living in adultery.

Refused to Sign	<u> Signed: George Battey</u>
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: Under Mosaic Law, a man was allowed to have more than one wife at a time.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 17 – Teaching NT law only privately?

Resolved: Jesus never taught NT law publicly to the multitudes; He only taught NT law privately to His disciples.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: Jesus taught NT law publicly to the multitudes.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 18 – Miracles indicate new revelation

Resolved: The miracles of Jesus do not indicate He taught New Testament legislation.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: The miracles of Jesus indicate He taught New Testament legislation.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 19 – Mal 2:16 – God hates divorce

Resolved: Mal 2:16 teaches that everyone divorcing and remarrying is doing something God hates.

Refused to Sign	<u> Signed: George Battey</u>
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: A faithful spouse divorcing an unrepentant, fornicating spouse is not doing something God hates.

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Subject 20 – "Doctrine of demons"

Resolved: Even if Mt 19:9 did apply to the gospel age, it would <u>not</u> be a "doctrine of demons" to teach the no-exception doctrine.

Refused to Sign	Signed: George Battey
Don Bounds – affirms	George Battey – denies

Resolved: Forbidding marriage to someone possessing the right to marry is a "doctrine of demons."

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – affirms	Don Bounds – denies

Suggested rules for written debate

- 1) Three articles shall be written by each disputant on each proposition.
- 2) No article shall exceed 1,000 words (as measured by MS Word 2010 word-counting feature). (A scripture reference, such as the following, is considered to be three words: "Mt 19:9 (KJV).")
- 3) Each participant will try to respond within two weeks' time after receiving his opponent's article.
- 4) If more than two weeks is needed, the brother needing the extra time shall write, call, or text requesting the need for more time.
- 5) If more than one month elapses without a finished, written reply, the one delaying shall be deemed as having "quit" the debate.
- 6) Both parties shall be free to publish this debate as they see fit (in hard copy, digital copy, posting on websites, etc.).

Signed: George Battey	Refused to Sign
George Battey – agrees	Don Bounds – agrees

FINAL OBSERVATIONS

After refusing to sign a single one of these propositions, I considered the case closed. These leaders of the movement are not willing to have a public debate on the marriage question. I feel confident these same men would debate a Baptist on salvation or a Mormon on continuous revelation or a Jehovah's Witness on the deity of Christ. But when it comes to the marriage question, they will not debate that publicly. They claim they want to have written debates, but as noted already, they are not serious about that either.

Sincerely, George Battey April 14, 2015